Artificial Neural Networks and Al

Artificial Neural Networks provide...

- A new computing paradigm
- A technique for developing trainable classifiers, memories, dimension-reducing mappings, etc
- A tool to study brain function

Converging Frameworks

- Artificial intelligence (AI): build a "packet of intelligence" into a machine
- **Cognitive psychology:** explain human behavior by interacting processes (schemas) "in the head" but not localized in the brain
- Brain Theory: interactions of components of the brain -
 - computational neuroscience
 - neurologically constrained-models
- and abstracting from them as both Artificial intelligence and Cognitive psychology:


- connectionism: networks of trainable "quasi-neurons" to provide "parallel distributed models" little constrained by neurophysiology

- abstract (computer program or control system) information processing models

Vision, AI and ANNs

. . .

• 1940s: beginning of Artificial Neural Networks



McCullogh & Pitts, 1942 $\Sigma_i w_i x_i \ge \theta$

Perceptron learning rule (Rosenblatt, 1962) Backpropagation Hopfield networks (1982) Kohonen self-organizing maps

Vision, AI and ANNs


1950s: beginning of computer vision

Aim: *give to machines same or better vision capability as ours* Drive: AI, robotics applications and factory automation


Initially: passive, feedforward, layered and hierarchical process that was just going to provide input to higher reasoning processes (from AI)

But soon: realized that could not handle real images

1980s: Active vision: make the system more robust by allowing the vision to adapt with the ongoing recognition/interpretation




Brodmann's cytoarchitectural map of Cortical Areas




Lateral View

Major Functional Areas

- **Primary motor: voluntary movement**
- Primary somatosensory: tactile, pain, pressure, position, temp., mvt.
- Motor association: coordination of complex movements
- Sensory association: processing of multisensorial information
- Prefrontal: planning, emotion, judgement
- Speech center (Broca's area): speech production and articulation
- Wernicke's area: comprehen-
- sion of speech
- Auditory: hearing
- Auditory association: complex
- auditory process
- Visual: low-level vision
- Visual association: higher-level
- vision






More on Connectivity


							Γ				<u> </u>																			
	١٨	V2	ΥP	£А	PIP	VJA	2	V4	ΤW	V4ť	VOT	å	E	đ	MSTd	MSTI	PTIA	PITY	7a	STPp	CITd	ÇĦ	STPa	АПУ	REF	Ë	4	EST	HI	AITd
V1	1		Ø			2382		333			ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	82	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
V2		7										ø	ø					ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø		ø	ø	ø
٧P	ø		1			4				ø		ø				ø		ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø			ø	ø	ø
V3				1							ø	Ø				Ø		Ø	Ø	Ø	ø	Ø	Ø	ø	ø			ø	Ø	Ø
PIP					1												ø	ø		ø	ø	ø	ø	ø						Ø
V3A						1	ø		1								888	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	Ø	ø	ø	ø		ø	ø	ø
PO							1						Ø	Ø			Ø	Ø	Ø		ø	Ø	Ø	ø		Ø		Ø	Ø	Ø
V4							Ø	1		14				Ø	ø	Ø				ø	Ø			ø			<7			Ø
MT								e.	1			ø						ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø			ø	ø
V4t			ø						#	1					87	×?														
VOT	ø	Ø		ø							1																			
DP		ø	ø	Ø					ø			1				182	ø	ø	ø		Ø	Ø	ø	Ø		Ø			ø	Ø
LIP	ø												1				Ø				ø	Ø		Ø					ø	ø
VIP	ø													1			Ø	ø			Ø	Ø		ø						Ø
MSTd	Ø							Ø		>?				. #	1		82	187			ø	ø		ø						Ø
MSTI	24		ø	ø	ø			ø		22		$\geq l$				1	ø	ø			ø	ø		Ø						Ø
PITd		ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø		ø				ø	ø	22	ø	/		ø			87						1		187
PITv		ø	ø	Ø	ø	ø	Ø		ø				. #	ø	22	ø	_	1	ø		182	182								83
7a	Ø	ø	ø	ø	L	ø		Ø	Ø								Ø	ø	4		Ø	ø					_≤?			
STPp	Ø	Ø	ø	ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø			Ø		Ø						17										Ø
CITd	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø		ø			ø		ø	ø	ø			ø	(A)	/									\otimes
ĊITv	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø		ø	<u> </u>		ø	<u> </u>	ø	Ø	ø			Ø	- #		1	L.	87						22
STPa	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	Ø	ø			ø	<u> </u>	ø	L								17	Ļ				_		
AITy	ø	Ø	ø	ø	ø	Ø	Ø	_	ø	00000		Ø	0000	Ø	Ø	Ø	32	>?	80000		22	22	ø	\vdash	<u> </u>	100		Ø	2003	
FEF	Ø				1.20			22																	1	.				
TF	Ø	ø			ø	ø	ø		ø			-		ø					ردددد							17		1		
46		Ø	ø	ø		Ø									Ø	0000			22	_							1	Ø		
FST	ø				ø		ø														ø	Ø		Ø			Ø	1		Ø
TH	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø		ø			ø	<u> </u>	ø	ø	ø					دددد								<u> </u>	\square
AITd	Ø	ø	Ø	Ø	ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø			Ø	1	Ø	Ø	Ø	22	22			48 P.	22						Ø		11

Neurons and Synapses



10


Electron Micrograph of a Real Neuron



CS 561, Session 28

Transmenbrane Ionic Transport

• *Ion channels* act as gates that allow or block the flow of specific ions into and out of the cell.




The Cable Equation

• See

http://diwww.epfl.ch/~gerstner/SPNM/SPNM.html

for excellent additional material (some reproduced here).


• Just a piece of passive dendrite can yield complicated differential equations which have been extensively studied by electronicians in the context of the study of coaxial cables (TV antenna cable):



CS 561, Session 28

The Hodgkin-Huxley Model

Example spike trains obtained...




CS 561, Session 28


Detailed Neural Modeling

• A simulator, called "Neuron" has been developed at Yale to simulate the Hodgkin-Huxley equations, as well as other membranes/channels/etc.

See http://www.neuron.yale.edu/




The "basic" biological neuron



- The soma and dendrites act as the input surface; the axon carries the outputs.
- The tips of the branches of the axon form synapses upon other neurons or upon effectors (though synapses may occur along the branches of an axon as well as the ends). The arrows indicate the direction of "typical" information flow from inputs to outputs.

Warren McCulloch and Walter Pitts (1943)

 A McCulloch-Pitts neuron operates on a discrete time-scale, t = 0,1,2,3, ... with time tick equal to one refractory period



• At each time step, an input or output is

on or off -1 or 0, respectively.

• Each connection or synapse from the output of one neuron to the input of another, has an attached weight.

Excitatory and Inhibitory Synapses


• We call a synapse

excitatory if $w_i > 0$, and inhibitory if $w_i < 0$.

- We also associate a threshold $\ \theta$ with each neuron
- A neuron fires (i.e., has value 1 on its output line) at time t+1 if the weighted sum of inputs at t reaches or passes θ:

y(t+1) = 1 if and only if $\sum w_i x_i(t) \ge \theta$

From Logical Neurons to Finite Automata



Increasing the Realism of Neuron Models


• The McCulloch-Pitts neuron of 1943 is important

as a basis for

- logical analysis of the neurally computable, and
- current design of some neural devices (especially when augmented by learning rules to adjust synaptic weights).
- However, it is no longer considered a useful model for making contact with neurophysiological data concerning real neurons.

Leaky Integrator Neuron

- The simplest "realistic" neuron model is a continuous time model based on using the firing rate (e.g., the number of spikes traversing the axon in the most recent 20 msec.) as a continuously varying measure of the cell's activity
- The state of the neuron is described by a single variable, the membrane potential.
- The firing rate is approximated by a sigmoid, function of membrane potential.



Leaky Integrator Model

$$\tau \quad \mathbf{m}(t) = -\mathbf{m}(t) + \mathbf{h}$$

has solution $m(t) = e^{-t/\tau} m(0) + (1 - e^{-t/\tau})h$

 \rightarrow h for time constant τ > 0.

• We now add synaptic inputs to get the

Leaky Integrator Model:

$$\tau m(t) = -m(t) + \sum_{i} w_{i} X_{i}(t) + h$$

where $X_i(t)$ is the firing rate at the ith input.

- Excitatory input ($w_i > 0$) will increase m(t)
- Inhibitory input $(w_i < 0)$ will have the opposite effect.



- A paper by John Hopfield in 1982 was the catalyst in attracting the attention of many physicists to "Neural Networks".
- In a network of McCulloch-Pitts neurons
 whose output is 1 iff Σwij sj ≥ θ_i and is otherwise 0,
 neurons are updated synchronously: every neuron processes its
 inputs at each time step to determine a new output.



• A Hopfield net (Hopfield 1982) is a net of such units subject to the asynchronous rule for updating one neuron at a time:

"Pick a unit i at random. If Σ wij sj $\ge \theta_i$, turn it on. Otherwise turn it off."

• Moreover, Hopfield assumes symmetric weights:

Wij = Wji



• Hopfield defined the "energy":

$E = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} S_i S_j W_{ij} + \sum_i S_i \theta_i$

• If we pick unit i and the firing rule (previous slide) does not change its s_i, it will not change E.

• If s_i initially equals 0, and $\Sigma w_{ij}s_j \ge \theta_i$

then s_i goes from 0 to 1 with all other s_j constant, and the "energy gap", or change in E, is given by

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathsf{E} &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} \left(\mathsf{w}_{ij} \mathsf{s}_{j} + \mathsf{w}_{ji} \mathsf{s}_{j} \right) + \theta_{i} \\ &= - \left(\sum_{j} \mathsf{w}_{ij} \mathsf{s}_{j} - \theta_{i} \right) & \text{(by symmetry)} \\ &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

• If
$$s_i$$
 initially equals 1, and $\Sigma w_{ij}s_j < \theta_i$

then s_i goes from 1 to 0 with all other s_i constant

The "energy gap," or change in E, is given, for symmetric $w_{ij}, \ by:$


$$\Delta E = \Sigma_j W_{ij}S_j - \theta_i < 0$$

• On every updating we have $\Delta E \leq 0$

Minimizing Energy




- Hence the dynamics of the net tends to move E toward a minimum.
- We stress that there may be different such states they are *local* minima. Global minimization is not guaranteed.



Self-Organizing Feature Maps

 The neural sheet is represented in a discretized form by a (usually) 2-D lattice A of formal neurons.




- The input pattern is a vector x from some pattern space V. Input vectors are normalized to unit length.
- The responsiveness of a neuron at a site r in A is measured by x.wr = Σi xi wri

where wr is the vector of the neuron's synaptic efficacies.

• The "image" of an external event is regarded as the unit with the maximal response to it

Self-Organizing Feature Maps


• Typical graphical representation: plot the weights (wr) as vertices and draw links between neurons that are nearest neighbors in A.



CS 561, Session 28

Self-Organizing Feature Maps

• These maps are typically useful to achieve some dimensionalityreducing mapping between inputs and outputs.



CS 561, Session 28

Applications: Classification

Business

- •Credit rating and risk assessment
- Insurance risk evaluation
- Fraud detection
- Insider dealing detection
- Marketing analysis
- Mailshot profiling
- Signature verification
- Inventory control

Engineering

- Machinery defect diagnosis
- •Signal processing
- Character recognition
- Process supervision
- Process fault analysis
- •Speech recognition
- Machine vision
- •Speech recognition
- •Radar signal classification

Security

- •Face recognition
- Speaker verification
- Fingerprint analysis

Medicine

- •General diagnosis
- •Detection of heart defects

Science

- Recognising genes
- Botanical classification
- Bacteria identification
- 561, Sessior

Applications: Modelling

Business

- •Prediction of share and commodity prices
- •Prediction of economic indicators
- Insider dealing detection
- Marketing analysis
- Mailshot profiling
- Signature verification

Engineering

- Transducer linerisation
- Colour discrimination
- •Robot control and navigation
- Process control
- •Aircraft landing control
- •Car active suspension control

•Printed Circuit auto routing

- Integrated circuit layout
- Image compression

Science

- •Prediction of the performance of drugs from the molecular structure
- Weather prediction
- Sunspot prediction

Medicine

•. Medical imaging and image processing

Applications: Forecasting


•Future sales

- •Production Requirements
- •Market Performance
- •Economic Indicators
- •Energy Requirements
- •Time Based Variables

Applications: Novelty Detection


- Fault Monitoring
- •Performance Monitoring
- •Fraud Detection
- Detecting Rate Features
- Different Cases

Multi-layer Perceptron Classifier



Input Pattern feature Values


CS 561, Session 28



Classifiers




• <u>http://www.electronicsletters.com/papers/2001/0020/paper.asp</u>




• 2-stage

approach



Example: face recognition

• Here using the 2-stage approach:




FEATURE EXTRACTION MLP


CS 561, Session 28

Training

• <u>http://www.neci.nec.</u> <u>com/homepages/law</u> <u>rence/papers/face-</u> <u>tr96/latex.html</u>




Learning rate



41

Testing / Evaluation


• Look at performance as a function of network complexity



CS 561, Session 28

Testing / Evaluation

Comparison with other known techniques




CS 561, Session 28

Associative Memories



• Idea: store:

So that we can recover it if presented with corrupted data such as:



half of image corrupted by noise

Original 'T'

20% corrupted by noise (whole image)

CS 561, Session 28


Associative memory with Hopfield nets

- Setup a Hopfield net such that local minima correspond to the stored patterns.
- Issues:

- because of weight symmetry, anti-patterns (binary reverse) are stored as well as the original patterns (also spurious local minima are created when many patterns are stored)

- if one tries to store more than about 0.14* (number of neurons) patterns, the network exhibits unstable behavior

- works well only if patterns are uncorrelated



Capabilities and Limitations of Layered Networks

- Issues:
- what can given networks do?
- What can they learn to do?
- How many layers required for given task?
- How many units per layer?
- When will a network generalize?
- What do we mean by generalize?

- ...

Capabilities and Limitations of Layered Networks

• What about boolean functions?

- Single-layer perceptrons are very limited:
 - XOR problem
 - etc.
- But what about multilayer perceptrons?

We can represent any boolean function with a network with just one hidden layer.

How??

Capabilities and Limitations of Layered Networks

To approximate a set of functions of the inputs by a layered network with continuous-valued units and sigmoidal activation function...

Cybenko, 1988: ... at most two hidden layers are necessary, with arbitrary accuracy attainable by adding more hidden units.

Cybenko, 1989: one hidden layer is enough to approximate any continuous function.

Intuition of proof: decompose function to be approximated into a sum of localized "bumps." The bumps can be constructed with two hidden layers.

Similar in spirit to Fourier decomposition. Bumps = radial basis functions.

Optimal Network Architectures

How can we determine the number of hidden units?

-genetic algorithms: evaluate variations of the network, using a metric that combines its performance and its complexity. Then apply various mutations to the network (change number of hidden units) until the best one is found.

-Pruning and weight decay:

- apply weight decay (remember reinforcement learning) during training
- eliminate connections with weight below threshold
- re-train
- How about eliminating units? For example, eliminate units with total synaptic input weight smaller than threshold.



• See

Hertz, Krogh & Palmer: Introduction to the theory of neural computation (Addison Wesley)

In particular, the end of chapters 2 and 6.