This time: Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy Inference
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- Fuzzy set theory
- Fuzzy inference
What is fuzzy logic?

• A super set of Boolean logic

• Builds upon fuzzy set theory

• Graded truth. Truth values between True and False. Not everything is either/or, true/false, black/white, on/off etc.

• Grades of membership. Class of tall men, class of far cities, class of expensive things, etc.

• **Lotfi Zadeh**, UC/Berkely 1965. Introduced **FL to model uncertainty in natural language.** Tall, far, nice, large, hot, …

• Reasoning using linguistic terms. Natural to express expert knowledge.
  *If the weather is cold then wear warm clothing*
Why use fuzzy logic?

Pros:
- Conceptually easy to understand w/ “natural” maths
- Tolerant of imprecise data
- Universal approximation: can model arbitrary nonlinear functions
- Intuitive
- Based on linguistic terms
- Convenient way to express expert and common sense knowledge

Cons:
- Not a cure-all
- Crisp/precise models can be more efficient and even convenient
- Other approaches might be formally verified to work
Tipping example

• **The Basic Tipping Problem:** Given a number between 0 and 10 that represents the quality of service at a restaurant what should the tip be?

Cultural footnote: An average tip for a meal in the U.S. is 15%, which may vary depending on the quality of the service provided.
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

- Tip = 15% of total bill

- What about quality of service?
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

• Tip = linearly proportional to service from 5% to 25%
  \[ \text{tip} = \frac{0.20}{10} \times \text{service} + 0.05 \]

• What about quality of the food?
Tipping example: Extended

- **The Extended Tipping Problem:** Given a number between 0 and 10 that represents the quality of service and the quality of the food, at a restaurant, what should the tip be?

  How will this affect our tipping formula?
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

- Tip = 0.20/20*(service+food)+0.05

- We want service to be more important than food quality. E.g., 80% for service and 20% for food.
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

- Tip = servRatio*(.2/10*(service)+.05) + (1-servRatio)*(.2/10*(food)+0.05);

- Seems too linear. Want 15% tip in general and deviation only for exceptionally good or bad service.
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

if service < 3,
    \[ \text{tip}(f+1,s+1) = \text{servRatio} \times (0.1/3 \times (s) + 0.05) + \ldots \]
    \[ (1-\text{servRatio}) \times (0.2/10 \times (f) + 0.05); \]
elseif s < 7,
    \[ \text{tip}(f+1,s+1) = \text{servRatio} \times (0.15) + \ldots \]
    \[ (1-\text{servRatio}) \times (0.2/10 \times (f) + 0.05); \]
else,
    \[ \text{tip}(f+1,s+1) = \text{servRatio} \times (0.1/3 \times (s-7) + 0.15) + \ldots \]
    \[ (1-\text{servRatio}) \times (0.2/10 \times (f) + 0.05); \]
end;
Tipping example: The non-fuzzy approach

Nice plot but

- ‘Complicated’ function
- Not easy to modify
- Not intuitive
- Many hard-coded parameters
- Not easy to understand
Tipping problem: the fuzzy approach

What we want to express is:

1. If service is poor then tip is cheap
2. If service is good then tip is average
3. If service is excellent then tip is generous
4. If food is rancid then tip is cheap
5. If food is delicious then tip is generous

or

1. If service is poor or the food is rancid then tip is cheap
2. If service is good then tip is average
3. If service is excellent or food is delicious then tip is generous

We have just defined the rules for a fuzzy logic system.
Tipping problem: fuzzy solution

Decision function generated using the 3 rules.
Tipping problem: fuzzy solution

- Before we have a fuzzy solution we need to find out

  a) how to define terms such as *poor, delicious, cheap, generous etc.*
  b) how to combine terms using AND, OR and other connectives
  c) how to combine all the rules into one final output
Fuzzy sets

- **Boolean/ Crisp set** $A$ is a mapping for the elements of $S$ to the set $\{0, 1\}$, i.e., $A: S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

- **Characteristic function:**

  $\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } x \text{ is an element of set } A \\
  0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not an element of set } A 
\end{cases}$

- **Fuzzy set** $F$ is a mapping for the elements of $S$ to the interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., $F: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$

  - Characteristic function: $0 \leq \mu_F(x) \leq 1$

  - 1 means full membership, 0 means no membership and anything in between, e.g., 0.5 is called **graded membership**
Example: Crisp set Tall

- Fuzzy sets and concepts are commonly used in natural language

  John is **tall**
  Dan is **smart**
  Alex is **happy**
  The class is **hot**

- E.g., the crisp set **Tall** can be defined as \( \{ x \mid \text{height } x > 1.8 \text{ meters}\} \)
  But what about a person with a height = 1.79 meters?
  What about 1.78 meters?
  ...
  What about 1.52 meters?
Example: Fuzzy set Tall

- In a fuzzy set a person with a height of 1.8 meters would be considered tall to a high degree. A person with a height of 1.7 meters would be considered tall to a lesser degree etc.

- The function can change for basketball players, Danes, women, children etc.
Membership functions: S-function

- The S-function can be used to define fuzzy sets
- \[ S(x, a, b, c) = \]
  - 0 for \( x \leq a \)
  - \( 2(x-a/c-a)^2 \) for \( a \leq x \leq b \)
  - \( 1 - 2(x-c/c-a)^2 \) for \( b \leq x \leq c \)
  - 1 for \( x \geq c \)
Membership functions: Π–Function

- $\Pi(x, a, b) =$
  - $S(x, b-a, b-a/2, b)$ for $x \leq b$
  - $1 - S(x, b, b+a/2, a+b)$ for $x \geq b$

E.g., *close* (to a)
Simple membership functions

- Piecewise linear: triangular etc.
- Easier to represent and calculate ⇒ saves computation
Fuzzy Sets

Membership Grade

\[ \mu \]

Cold  Mild  Warm

°F

CS 561, Sessions 22-23
An observed temperature of 38 is cold with a belief of 0.14, Mild with a belief of 0.85 and warm with a belief of 0.
Other representations of fuzzy sets

- A finite set of elements:

\[ F = \mu_1/x_1 + \mu_2/x_2 + \ldots \mu_n/x_n \]

+ means (Boolean) set union

- For example:

TALL = \{0/1.0, 0/1.2, 0/1.4, 0.2/1.6, 0.8/1.7, 1.0/1.8\}
Fuzzy set operators

- **Equality**
  \[ A = B \]
  \[ \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \]

- **Complement**
  \[ A' \]
  \[ \mu_A'(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \]

- **Containment**
  \[ A \subseteq B \]
  \[ \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \]

- **Union**
  \[ A \cup B \]
  \[ \mu_{A \cup B}(x) = \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \]

- **Intersection**
  \[ A \cap B \]
  \[ \mu_{A \cap B}(x) = \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \]
Example fuzzy set operations
Linguistic Hedges

- Modifying the meaning of a fuzzy set using hedges such as very, more or less, slightly, etc.

- “Very $F$“ $= F^2$
- “More or less $F$“ $= F^{1/2}$
- etc.

[Graph showing fuzzy set operations]
Fuzzy relations

• A fuzzy relation for N sets is defined as an extension of the crisp relation to include the membership grade.

\[ R = \{ \mu_R(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N)/(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N) \mid x_i \in X, i=1, \ldots N \} \]

which associates the membership grade, \( \mu_R \), of each tuple.

• E.g.

Friend = \{0.9/(Manos, Nacho), 0.1/(Manos, Dan),
0.8/(Alex, Mike), 0.3/(Alex, John)\}
Fuzzy inference

- Fuzzy logical operations
- Fuzzy rules
- Fuzzification
- Implication
- Aggregation
- Defuzzification
Fuzzy logical operations

- **AND, OR, NOT, etc.**

- **NOT** $A = A' = 1 - \mu_A(x)$
- **A AND B** $= A \cap B = \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))$
- **A OR B** $= A \cup B = \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))$

From the following truth tables it is seen that fuzzy logic is a **superset** of Boolean logic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>min(A,B) A and B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>max(A,B) A or B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>1-A not A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If-Then Rules

- Use fuzzy sets and fuzzy operators as the subjects and verbs of fuzzy logic to form rules.

if x is A then y is B

where A and B are linguistic terms defined by fuzzy sets on the sets X and Y respectively.

This reads

if x == A then y = B
Evaluation of fuzzy rules

• In Boolean logic: \( p \Rightarrow q \)
  if \( p \) is true then \( q \) is true

• In fuzzy logic: \( p \Rightarrow q \)
  if \( p \) is true to some degree then \( q \) is true to some degree.

  \[ 0.5p \Rightarrow 0.5q \]  (partial premise implies partially)

• How?
Fuzzy Rules

- Example: “If our distance to the car in front is small, and the distance is decreasing slowly, then decelerate quite hard”
  - Fuzzy variables in blue
  - Fuzzy sets in red

- QUESTION: Given the distance and the change in the distance, what acceleration should we select?
Fuzzification: Set Definitions

**distance**
- **v. small**
- **small**
- **perfect**
- **big**
- **v. big**

**acceleration**
- **brake**
- **slow**
- **present**
- **fast**
- **fastest**

**Delta (distance change)**
- **<<**
- **<**
- **=**
- **>**
- **>>**
Fuzzification: Instance

- Distance could be considered small or perfect
- Delta could be stable or growing
- What acceleration?

observation

v. small  small  perfect  big  v. big

distance

brake  slow  present  fast  fastest

acceleration

<<  <  =  >  >>

delta

observation
Fuzzification: Instance

IF distance is Small THEN Slow Down
Distance is small, then you slow down.
Question: What is the weight to slow down?
Rule Evaluation

Clipping approach (others are possible):

Clip the fuzzy set for “slow” (the consequent) at the height given by our belief in the premises (0.55)

We will then consider the clipped AREA (orange) when making our final decision

Rationale: if belief in premises is low, clipped area will be very small
But if belief is high it will be close to the whole unclipped area
Fuzzification: Instance

IF change in distance is = THEN Keep the speed

\( \delta \)

0.75
Distance is not growing, then keep present acceleration.
Rule Evaluation

Distance is not growing, then keep present acceleration
Rule Aggregation

How do we make a final decision? From each rule we have obtained a clipped area. But in the end we want a single Number output: our desired acceleration

From distance
From delta (distance change)
In the rule aggregation step, we merge all clipped areas into One (taking the union).

Intuition: rules for which we had a strong belief that their premises were satisfied Will tend to “pull” that merged area towards their own central value, since their Clipped areas will be large
Defuzzification

In the last step, defuzzification, we return as our acceleration value the x coordinate of the center of mass of the merged area.

-2.3 m/s\(^2\)
Rule Aggregation: Another case

- Convert our belief into action
  - For each rule, clip action fuzzy set by belief in rule
Rule Aggregation: Another case

- Convert our belief into action
  - For each rule, clip action fuzzy set by belief in rule
Matching for Example

- Relevant rules are:
  - If distance is small and delta is growing, maintain speed
  - If distance is small and delta is stable, slow down
  - If distance is perfect and delta is growing, speed up
  - If distance is perfect and delta is stable, maintain speed
Matching for Example

- For first rule, distance is small has 0.75 truth, and delta is growing has 0.3 truth
  - So the truth of the **and** is 0.3
- Other rule strengths are 0.6, 0.1 and 0.1
AND/OR Example

- IF Distance Small AND change in distance negative THEN high deceleration
AND/OR Example

• IF Distance Small AND change in distance = THEN slow deceleration
AND/OR Example

• IF Distance Small AND change in distance = THEN slow deceleration
Instead of clipping, another approach is to scale the fuzzy set.
By the belief in the premises.

Clipping:

Scaling:
Summary: If-Then rules

1. Fuzzify inputs:
   Determine the degree of membership for all terms in the premise.
   If there is one term then this is the degree of support for the consequence.

2. Apply fuzzy operator:
   If there are multiple parts, apply logical operators to determine the degree of support for the rule.
Summary: If-Then rules

3. Apply implication method:
Use degree of support for rule to shape output fuzzy set of the consequence.

How do we then combine several rules?
Multiple rules

- We aggregate the outputs into a single fuzzy set which combines their decisions.
- The input to aggregation is the list of truncated fuzzy sets and the output is a single fuzzy set for each variable.
- **Aggregation rules**: max, sum, etc.
- As long as it is commutative then the order of rule exec is irrelevant.
Defuzzify the output

- Take a fuzzy set and produce a single crisp number that represents the set.
- Practical when making a decision, taking an action etc.

Center of gravity
Fuzzy inference overview

Tip = 16.7%
Result of defuzzification (centroid)
Limitations of fuzzy logic

• How to determine the membership functions? Usually requires fine-tuning of parameters

• Defuzzification can produce undesired results
Fuzzy tools and shells

- Matlab’s Fuzzy Toolbox
- FuzzyClips
- Etc.